

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
1	UCL Statistics for Data Sets with Non-Detects											
2												
3	User Selected Options											
4	e/Time of Computation			8/13/2015 3:02:00 PM								
5	From File			ProUCLinput_C-12-005_0-5.xls								
6	Full Precision			OFF								
7	Confidence Coefficient			95%								
8	f Bootstrap Operations			2000								
9												
10												
11	Chromium											
12												
13	General Statistics											
14	Total Number of Observations				10		Number of Distinct Observations				10	
15							Number of Missing Observations				0	
16	Minimum				8.68		Mean				34.94	
17	Maximum				196		Median				12.8	
18	SD				57.44		Std. Error of Mean				18.11	
19	Coefficient of Variation				1.64		Skewness				2.99	
20												
21	Normal GOF Test											
22	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.49		Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
23	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.84		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
24	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.37		Lilliefors GOF Test					
25	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.28		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
26	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level											
27												
28	Assuming Normal Distribution											
29	95% Normal UCL				95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)							
30	95% Student's-t UCL				68.24		95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				83.24	
31							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				71.14	
32												
33	Gamma GOF Test											
34	A-D Test Statistic				1.52		Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
35	5% A-D Critical Value				0.74		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
36	K-S Test Statistic				0.34		Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test					
37	5% K-S Critical Value				0.27		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
38	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
39												
40	Gamma Statistics											
41	k hat (MLE)				0.97		k star (bias corrected MLE)				0.75	
42	Theta hat (MLE)				35.69		Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				46.40	
43	nu hat (MLE)				19.54		nu star (bias corrected)				15.04	
44	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				34.94		MLE Sd (bias corrected)				40.29	
45							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				7.29	
46	Adjusted Level of Significance				0.024		Adjusted Chi Square Value				6.37	
47												
48	Assuming Gamma Distribution											
49	Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))				72.05		Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				82.40	
50												
51	Lognormal GOF Test											
52	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.75		Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
53	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.84		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
54	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.30		Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
55	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.28		Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
56	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
57												
58	Lognormal Statistics											
59	Minimum of Logged Data				2.16		Mean of logged Data				2.96	
60	Maximum of Logged Data				5.27		SD of logged Data				0.94	
61												
62	Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
63	95% H-UCL				77.14		90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				55.14	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
64		95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				67.1%	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				83.9%	
65		99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				116.8%						
66												
67		Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics										
68		Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)										
69												
70		Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs										
71		95% CLT UCL				64.8%	95% Jackknife UCL				68.2%	
72		95% Standard Bootstrap UCL				62.5%	95% Bootstrap-t UCL				228.1%	
73		95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL				210.1%	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL				70%	
74		95% BCA Bootstrap UCL				86.5%						
75		90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				89.4%	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				114.1%	
76		97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				148.4%	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				215.7%	
77												
78		Suggested UCL to Use										
79		95% Chebyshev (Mean, Sd) UCL				114.1%						
80												
81		Recommendations regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate										
82		Recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and										
83		Singh and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets										
84		For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.										
85												
86												
87		Lead										
88												
89		General Statistics										
90		Total Number of Observations				10	Number of Distinct Observations				9	
91							Number of Missing Observations				0	
92		Minimum				9.54	Mean				18.1%	
93		Maximum				48.2	Median				15.4%	
94		SD				10.8%	Std. Error of Mean				3.42%	
95		Coefficient of Variation				0.59	Skewness				2.87%	
96												
97		Normal GOF Test										
98		Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.57	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
99		5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.84	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
100		Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.38	Lilliefors GOF Test					
101		5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.28	Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level					
102		Data Not Normal at 5% Significance Level										
103												
104		Assuming Normal Distribution										
105		95% Normal UCL					95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)					
106		95% Student's-t UCL				24.4%	95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				27.0%	
107							95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				24.9%	
108												
109		Gamma GOF Test										
110		A-D Test Statistic				1.48	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
111		5% A-D Critical Value				0.72	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
112		K-S Test Statistic				0.36	Kolmogrov-Smirnov Gamma GOF Test					
113		5% K-S Critical Value				0.26	Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
114		Data Not Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level										
115												
116		Gamma Statistics										
117		k hat (MLE)				5.22	k star (bias corrected MLE)				3.72%	
118		Theta hat (MLE)				3.46	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				4.86%	
119		nu hat (MLE)				104.5	nu star (bias corrected)				74.5%	
120		MLE Mean (bias corrected)				18.1%	MLE Sd (bias corrected)				9.39%	
121							Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				55.6%	
122		Adjusted Level of Significance				0.02%	Adjusted Chi Square Value				52.8%	
123												
124		Assuming Gamma Distribution										
125		Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50)				24.2%	Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				25.5%	
126												

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
127	Lognormal GOF Test										
128	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.74	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test					
129	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.84	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
130	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.33	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test					
131	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.28	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level					
132	Data Not Lognormal at 5% Significance Level										
133											
134	Lognormal Statistics										
135	Minimum of Logged Data				2.25	Mean of logged Data				2.79	
136	Maximum of Logged Data				3.87	SD of logged Data				0.41	
137											
138	Assuming Lognormal Distribution										
139	95% H-UCL				24.0%	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				24.8%	
140	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				28.1%	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				32.6%	
141	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				41.4%						
142											
143	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics										
144	Data do not follow a Discernible Distribution (0.05)										
145											
146	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs										
147	95% CLT UCL				23.7%	95% Jackknife UCL				24.4%	
148	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL				23.3%	95% Bootstrap-t UCL				39.8%	
149	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL				55.8%	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL				24.6%	
150	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL				28.0%						
151	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				28.4%	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				33.0%	
152	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				39.5%	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				52.2%	
153											
154	Suggested UCL to Use										
155	95% Student's-t UCL				24.4%	or 95% Modified-t UCL				24.9%	
156											
157	ptions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate										
158	ommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and										
159	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets										
160	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.										
161											
162											
163	Uranium										
164											
165	General Statistics										
166	Total Number of Observations				10	Number of Distinct Observations				10	
167						Number of Missing Observations				0	
168	Minimum				0.82	Mean				1.46	
169	Maximum				2.77	Median				1.26	
170	SD				0.60	Std. Error of Mean				0.19	
171	Coefficient of Variation				0.41	Skewness				1.29	
172											
173	Normal GOF Test										
174	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.87	Shapiro Wilk GOF Test					
175	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.84	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
176	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.19	Lilliefors GOF Test					
177	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.28	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level					
178	Data appear Normal at 5% Significance Level										
179											
180	Assuming Normal Distribution										
181	95% Normal UCL					95% UCLs (Adjusted for Skewness)					
182	95% Student's-t UCL				1.81	95% Adjusted-CLT UCL (Chen-1995)				1.86	
183						95% Modified-t UCL (Johnson-1978)				1.82	
184											
185	Gamma GOF Test										
186	A-D Test Statistic				0.36	Anderson-Darling Gamma GOF Test					
187	5% A-D Critical Value				0.72	data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					
188	K-S Test Statistic				0.19	Kolmogrov-Smirnoff Gamma GOF Test					
189	5% K-S Critical Value				0.26	data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
190	Detected data appear Gamma Distributed at 5% Significance Level											
191												
192	Gamma Statistics											
193	k hat (MLE)				7.60	k star (bias corrected MLE)				5.39		
194	Theta hat (MLE)				0.19	Theta star (bias corrected MLE)				0.27		
195	nu hat (MLE)				152.2	nu star (bias corrected)				107.9		
196	MLE Mean (bias corrected)				1.46	MLE Sd (bias corrected)				0.63		
197						Approximate Chi Square Value (0.05)				84.8		
198	Adjusted Level of Significance				0.024	Adjusted Chi Square Value				81.3		
199												
200	Assuming Gamma Distribution											
201	Approximate Gamma UCL (use when n>=50))				1.86	Adjusted Gamma UCL (use when n<50)				1.94		
202												
203	Lognormal GOF Test											
204	Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic				0.95	Shapiro Wilk Lognormal GOF Test						
205	5% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value				0.84	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
206	Lilliefors Test Statistic				0.17	Lilliefors Lognormal GOF Test						
207	5% Lilliefors Critical Value				0.28	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level						
208	Data appear Lognormal at 5% Significance Level											
209												
210	Lognormal Statistics											
211	Minimum of Logged Data				-0.18	Mean of logged Data				0.31		
212	Maximum of Logged Data				1.01	SD of logged Data				0.37		
213												
214	Assuming Lognormal Distribution											
215	95% H-UCL				1.90	90% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				1.98		
216	95% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				2.22	97.5% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				2.55		
217	99% Chebyshev (MVUE) UCL				3.2							
218												
219	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCL Statistics											
220	Data appear to follow a Discernible Distribution at 5% Significance Level											
221												
222	Nonparametric Distribution Free UCLs											
223	95% CLT UCL				1.77	95% Jackknife UCL				1.81		
224	95% Standard Bootstrap UCL				1.76	95% Bootstrap-t UCL				2.01		
225	95% Hall's Bootstrap UCL				2.44	95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL				1.77		
226	95% BCA Bootstrap UCL				1.84							
227	90% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				2.03	95% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				2.29		
228	97.5% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				2.65	99% Chebyshev(Mean, Sd) UCL				3.36		
229												
230	Suggested UCL to Use											
231	95% Student's-t UCL				1.81							
232												
233	Instructions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate											
234	recommendations are based upon the results of the simulation studies summarized in Singh, Singh, and											
235	and Singh and Singh (2003). However, simulations results will not cover all Real World data sets											
236	For additional insight the user may want to consult a statistician.											
237												